IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Case No. : C.R.No.3388 of 2006
Date of Decision : December 07, 2006.

Smt.Neeru Tandon ..... Petitioner

Vs.

Rajiv Kumar Tandon ..... Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr.Justice P.S.Patwalia

* * *

Present : Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

P.S.Patwalia, J. (Oral) :

The present revision petition has been filed challenging order dated 28.1.2006 vide which application filed by the petitioner-wife seeking direction to the respondent to hand over her *Istridhan* articles has been declined.

The court while declining the application has noted that the petitioner-applicant had not moved any application for this relief under Section 27 of the Hindu Marriage Act during the pendency of the divorce petition. It has also been noted by the trial court that the dowry articles were got recovered by the police during the investigation of the criminal case and the same were returned to the applicant by Ilaqa Magistrate.

A perusal of the facts of this case would show that the marriage was on 24.1.1996. The same was dissolved by a decree of divorce dated 20.10.2000. The present Miscellaneous Application was filed on 24.09.2005 and was numbered as Misc. Application No.265 of 2005. The application was thus filed after about five years of the decree of divorce. I am of the opinion that the present application is merely an after thought. I

am not inclined to interfere in the order rejecting the application which has been filed after five years of the divorce and even longer period of time has elapsed since the criminal case was investigated and the dowry articles were returned to the applicant by the Ilaqa Magistrate. It is, in my opinion, merely an effort to harass the husband by the wife.

For the reasons aforestated I find no merit in this revision petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

December 07, 2006 (P.S.Patwalia)
monika Judge